In the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan
At
Jaipur Bench, Jaipur

O R D E R

In

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.6737/06
Amritpal Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan

Date Of Order :: 17th November, 2006

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Ray Goyal

Mr. J.R. Chaudhary, counsel for petitioner.
Mr. R.P. Kuldeep, Public Prosecutor for State.

.....

Heard learned counsel for accused petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor for the State, perused the case diary and other material including the affidavits filed by the accused petitioner Amritpal Singh.

It is alleged that the accused petitioner Amritpal Singh damaged some part of the wall of the public park and started parking his taxi cars.

It is contended on behalf of the accused petitioner that neither he damaged the wall of the park nor he has encroached in any way over the public park. It is also submitted that in future also he is not going to park any vehicle in the public park nor he would cause any damage to the public park and to this effect affidavits have also been filed by the accused petitioner.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

Without making any observation on merits, having considered the rival submissions made at the bar, nature of accusation, material including the two affidavits

filed by the accused petitioner Amritpal Singh and all other facts and circumstances, I deem it proper to grant the benefit of pre-arrest bail to accused petitioner Amritpal Singh.

In the result, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner Amritpal Singh S/o. Sardara Gurubux Singh, he shall be released on bail by the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer in FIR No.382/2006 registered at Police Station Mansarovar provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- together with two sureties each in the sum of Rs.5,000/- to his satisfaction on the following conditions :-

1. that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer; and
3. that the petitioner shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

(J.R. Goyal),J

VS Shekhawat/-
Jr. P.A.